

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/685,139	10/14/2003	Steve Mitchell	5910-187	4489	
65901 MEDTRONIC	7590 05/19/200	EXAM	EXAMINER		
Attn: Noreen Johnson - IP Legal Department 2600 Sofamor Danck Drive Memphis, TN 38132			HOFFMAN	HOFFMAN, MARY C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3733		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/19/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/685,139		MITCHELL, STEVE	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MARY HOFFMAN	3733	

	MARY HOFFMAN	3733					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 04 May 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing							
	b) 🔀 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any seamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	ience with 37 CER 41 37 must be	filed within two month	e of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	cause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor	sideration and/or search (see NO						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below							
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or 	er form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c							
NOTE: The amendment to claim 7 deleting the word "enlarged" corrected the 112 issue set forth in the office action mailed 03/05/2009; however, the deletion of this claim limitation broadens the scope of the claims and therefore requires further							
search and/or consideration. In addition, further co							
implants are "configured for the brace to contact the	e inferior surface of the spinous pre						
least one hook engages the S1 vertebra". (See 37							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment can 							
non-allowable claim(s).		•	-				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		I be entered and an e	xplanation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ided below or appended.						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>26-42,58-64,66-69,77,83 and 84</u> .							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>43-56 and 85</u> . Claim(s) rejected: 7,9-16,20-24 and 82.							
Claim(s) rejected: 7.3-70,20-24 and 62. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
D. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:							
_							
/Eduardo C. Robert/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3733	/Mary C. Hoffman/ Examiner, Art Unit 3733						

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.

Part of Paper No. 20090514